

R.5. SULU STATE COLLEGE

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

SECTOR OUTCOME

Lifelong learning opportunities for all ensured

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

1. Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased
2. Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation
3. Community engagement increased

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION**ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)****BASELINE****2023 TARGETS**

Relevant and quality tertiary education ensured to achieve inclusive growth and access of poor but deserving students to quality tertiary education increased

HIGHER EDUCATION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

1. Percentage of first-time licensure exam takers that pass the licensure exams

51%

73%

- a. School of Nursing
- b. School of Education

2. Percentage of graduates (2 years prior) that are employed

6%

58%

Output Indicators

1. Percentage of undergraduate students enrolled in CHED-identified and RDC-identified priority programs

11%

30%

2. Percentage of undergraduate programs with accreditation

1%

90%

Higher education research improved to promote economic productivity and innovation

RESEARCH PROGRAM

Outcome Indicator

1. Number of research outputs in the last three years utilized by the industry or by other beneficiaries

23

61

Output Indicators

1. Number of research outputs completed within the year

16

31

2. Percentage of research outputs presented in national, regional, and international fora within the year

1%

30%

Community engagement increased

TECHNICAL ADVISORY EXTENSION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicator

1. Number of active partnerships with LGUs, industries, NGOs, NGAs, SMEs, and other stakeholders as a result of extension activities

3

10

Output Indicators

1. Number of trainees weighted by the length of training

329

700

2. Number of extension programs organized and supported consistent with the SUC's

 GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT, FY 2023

mandated and priority programs

2

15

3. Percentage of beneficiaries who rate the training course/s as satisfactory or higher in terms of quality and relevance

83%

90%